UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,925	08/10/2007	Erwin Oser	5151-19PUS	4759	
27799 7590 10/29/2008 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP			EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210	· · ·	NGUYEN, HOANG M			
NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/583,925	OSER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoang M. Nguyen	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x pane quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	. O. O. O. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	4					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/06, 2/7/07</u> . 6) Other:						

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-27, 35-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1301214 (Wallace et al).

Wallace et al discloses an engine 10 with helical screw rotors 16-17 forming a roots blower, an evaporator 26, 32, and a condenser 30.

Claims 21-27, 35-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2003/0172654 (Lawheed).

Lawheed discloses an engine 42 with rotors 100 forming a roots blower, an evaporator 26, and a condenser 46.

Claims 21-27, 35-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 3619547 (Koch).

Koch discloses an engine 5 with rotors forming a roots blower, an evaporator, a condenser 13 and a collector 6 forming a separator.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/583,925 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3619547 (Lawheed) in view of WO 85/02881 (Lipovetz et al). Lawheed discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 21, but does not disclose absorbent step. Lipovetz et al is relied upon to disclose it's well known in a closed cycle system to have an engine 3 with expansion step, then after expansion, a component of the working substance is absorbed using an absorption agent (note page 7, lines 20-30). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide an absorption agent in the system of Lawheed as taught by Lipovetz et al for the purpose of achieving appropriate temperature as claimed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Coney et al and Proeschel disclose power plants using roots blowers.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748

> HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 10/31/2008